Machine Learning Approaches to Activity Recognition and Person Identification

Jaakko Suutala

Data Mining Research Group at Intelligent Systems Group University of Oulu, Finland Email: jaska@ee.oulu.fi



AEWUC 2008 - 1 / 23

31.07.2008

Outline

Summary Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person

identification using simple floor sensors

- Summary
- Motivation
- Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition
- Case Study 2: Person identification
- Conclusions and future work



Summary

Summary

Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily li	fe
activity recognition	

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors



- 3D acceleration sensor nodes used (placed on 4 different body parts)
- I Identifying persons based on footsteps
 - □ Floor matrix of simple binary switches used
- Statistical Machine learning
 - Discriminative kernel machines (Support Vector Machines (SVM), Gaussian Processes (GP))
 - □ Information fusion: combining sequential data



Motivation

Sι	lm	m	a	·у
----	----	---	---	----

Motivation

- Motivation (cont.)
- Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition
- Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions

Daily life activity recognition

- □ To motivate personal health care and fitness monitoring etc.
- Small wireless wearable sensors: can be embedded in mobile devices, clothing, shoes, necklaces, watches etc.
- Person identification
 - □ Biometrics, personal profiling of devices and services etc.
 - Floor sensors: can be embedded to environment, transparent and natural identification
- To provide information for higher level applications (e.g., in ubiquitous systems)



Motivation (cont.)

Motivation
Motivation (cont.)
Case study 1: Daily life

activity recognition

Summary

Case study 2: Person identification using

simple floor sensors

Conclusions



Statistical machine learning approaches

- □ Uncertain and noisy sensor measurements
- Non-linear dependencies between input features and output activities
- □ Information fusion problems
 - Activity recognition
 - To learn to predict activities from high-dimensional input features
 - To learn to smooth and to select the most probable activity transition
 - Person identification
 - To learn to predict identity from high-dimensional input features
 - $\hfill\square$ To combine the sequences of footsteps

Summary Motivation Motivation (cont.) Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition Sensors

00110010

Sensor placements

Activities, data

collection, and features

Methods

Methods (cont.)

Methods (cont.)

Experimental settings

Results

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions



Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Sensors

Motivation Motivation (cont.) Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Sensors

Summary

Sensor placements

Activities, data

collection, and features

Methods

Methods (cont.)

Methods (cont.)

Experimental settings

Results

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors





- "Cookie"
- I Developed in Nokia Research Center in Tokyo (in collaboration with DCL at Waseda University)
- 3-axis acceleration data
- Range \pm 3g
- Output sampling frequency 10Hz (internally 200kHz, samples are averaged and synchronized from each node)

Sensor placements

Summary Motivation Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Sensors

Sensor placements

Activities,	data	
collection	and	features

Methods

Methods (cont.)

Methods (cont.)

Experimental settings

Results

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions



Sensor nodes were attached to four different body parts to sense human motion and posture

- □ Right thigh
- □ Right wrist
- □ Left wrist





Activities, data collection, and features

Summary Motivation Motivation (cont.) Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition Sensors Sensor placements Activities, data collection, and features Methods Methods (cont.) Methods (cont.) Experimental settings

Results

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions



17 different activities were collected

- Cleaning a whiteboard, Reading a newspaper, Standing still, Sitting and relaxing, Drinking, Brushing teeth, Sitting and watching TV, Lying down, Typing, Vacuum cleaning, Walking, Climbing stairs, Descending stairs, Riding an elevator up, Riding an elevator down, Running, Bicycling
- Semi-naturalistic labeling (i.e., annotation by subjects)
- Over 8 hours of data collected from 13 subjects
- Time domain features calculated (mean and standard deviation)







Methods

Summary	
Motivation	•
Motivation (cont.)	•
Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition	•
Sensors	•
Sensor placements	•
Activities, data	•
collection, and features	•
Methods	•
Methods (cont.)	•
Methods (cont.)	•
Experimental settings	•
Results	•
Results (cont.)	•

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors



- Discriminative learning of individual activities
 - Mapping from high dimensional input feature vector to activity prediction
 - Effectively discriminates between classes (not wasting resources to model joint distributions)
 - □ Support Vector Machines (SVM) base classifiers
 - Only for binary classification, pairwise classifiers combined to perform multiple class classification
 - No direct posterior distribution of class labels, parametric sigmoid post-processing mapping

Methods (cont.)

Summary	
Vlotivation))
Motivation (cont.))))
Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition	
Sensors)))
Sensor placements	•
Activities, data collection, and features	
Methods	6 6
Methods (cont.)	D D 0
Methods (cont.)	•
Experimental settings))
Results	
Results (cont.)))
Case study 2: Person dentification using simple floor sensors	
Conclusions	



Temporal smoothing for sequences

- SVM's capability of classifying only individual examples (e.g., activities)
- Activities are usually changing smoothly (e.g., not like walking lying down - running)
- Activities are rather dependent on the neighborhood labels (e.g., sitting standing walking running)
 - We propose a novel algorithm which is called Discriminative Temporal Smoothing (DTS)
 - DTS uses a combination of individual posterior predictions from discriminative method (e.g., SVM) and sequential temporal information of adjacent class labels
 - On training time individual confidence measurements are collected to sequence
 - Hidden Markov Model -type transition probability matrix learning from the sequence (Forward-backward algorithm)

Methods (cont.)





Experimental settings

Summary
Motivation
Motivation (cont.)
Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition
Sensors
Sensor placements
Activities, data collection, and features

Methods

Methods (cont.)

Methods (cont.)

Experimental settings

Results

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions



Two different datasets are used

- Dataset of all 17 activities
- Combined dataset of 9 activities (some activities aggregated to more general ones)
- DTS is compared with other methods
 - □ SVM (no use of sequential information)
 - □ HMM (uses sequential information for intra-class variations)
 - SVM-HMM (combination of these two, HMM trained to SVM's outputs)

Results

Recognition of 17 activities

	SVM	HMM	SVM-HMM	DTS
Accuracy (%)	90.65 (4.53)	84.26 (4.66)	84.39 (5.65)	93.58 (4.15)
Precision (%)	88.00 (4.68)	75.69 (3.04)	77.82 (5.36)	93.88 (3.69)
Recall (%)	87.74 (3.21)	79.74 (3.76)	81.17 (3.90)	90.58 (3.55)

Recognition of 9 activities

	SVM	HMM	SVM-HMM	DTS
Accuracy (%) Precision (%)	94.15 (2.62) 92.12 (2.98) 92.10 (1.80)	88.75 (2.93) 82.32 (4.50) 86 77 (3 74)	90.42 (4.75) 85.77 (3.14) 87.89 (7.20)	96.36 (2.13) 96.76 (2.06) 94.53 (1.05)

Conclusions

Summary Motivation

Sensors

Methods

Results

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Sensor placements

collection, and features

Activities, data

Methods (cont.)

Methods (cont.)

Results (cont.)

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Experimental settings



Results (cont.)

- Summary
- Motivation
- Motivation (cont.)
- Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition
- Sensors
- Sensor placements
- Activities, data
- collection, and features
- Methods
- Methods (cont.)
- Methods (cont.)
- Experimental settings
- Results
- Results (cont.)
- Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors
- Conclusions



Confusion matrix of recognizing 9 activities

%	clean	sit	stand	use stairs	brush teeth	lie down	walk	run	cycle
clean	94.3	1.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.6
sit	0.0	99.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
stand	3.1	2.6	94.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
use stairs	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.9	0.0	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0
brush teeth	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	97.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
lie down	3.4	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.7	0.0	0.0	0.5
walk	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	99.8	0.0	0.0
run	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
cycle	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.6

Summary

Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Sensors

Data collection and features

Methods

Results and application

Conclusions



Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors



Motivation Motivation (cont.)

Summary

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Sensors

Data collection and features

Methods

Results and application





- "InfoFloor"
- Installed in Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology
- 10cm x 10cm binary sensor tiles
- Each unit contains 25 tiles (i.e., size of 50cm x 50 cm)
- Totally 300 binary switches
- Output sampling frequency 16Hz

Data collection and features

Summary
Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

activity recognition

identification using simple floor sensors

Sensors

features

Methods

Conclusions

Case study 1: Daily life

Case study 2: Person

Data collection and

Results and application

Dataset collected

- 9 persons, 20 walking sequence, ca. 150 footstep profiles / person
- Feature extraction
 - Features based on the single footstep profiles as well as walking sequence
 - When integrating data from adjacent time periods binary image can be transformed to grey-level image
 - □ Totally 28 features (e.g., number of activated tiles, stride length and duration)







Methods

Summary
Motivation
Motivation (cont.)
Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition
Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors
Sensors Data collection and features

Methods

Results and application

Conclusions



Bayesian discriminative learning

- □ Mapping from high dimensional input feature vector to person id
- □ Gaussian Processes (GP) classifiers
- Joint multiclass classification, posterior probabilities, and Bayesian model selection
- The use of sequential information from walking over the floor
 - Learning classifier from individual footstep examples (simple conventional training)
 - Combining classifier outputs (e.g., posterior probabilities)
 - Summation and product rules (easy to implement)
 - Learning the optimal combination (future work)

Results and application

Summary Motivation Motivation (cont.)	Ident	tification of 9 p	persons		
Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition			GP (single examples)	GP (sum rule)	GP (product rule)
Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors		Accuracy (%)	64.23 (3.27)	82.33 (6.59)	84.26 (6.69)
Sensors Data collection and features	Cont	ext-aware ren	ninder		
Methods Results and application			Hi, Nobu! Your n	nilk is expiring!	Hi, Nobu! Take your umbrella! It will rain in Kyoto in the afternoon
Conclusions	ID, Po Times Poste TCP/I Foots TCP/I	context-aware Reminder (Java) stamp, rior / P Identification System (Python) tep data / P Java Wrapper (JNI) InfoFloor Driver (DLL)			
UNIVERSITY	Foots RS23	tep data /			

InfoFloor

U

0 0

Summar	У
--------	---

Μ	ot	iν	a	ti	0	n

Motivation (cont.)
--------------	--------

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions

Conclusions and future work





Conclusions and future work

Summary Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions

Conclusions and future work



We have proposed methods for activity recognition and person identification from multiple sensors

Interesting approaches to ubiquitous and context-aware computing

Fully optimized discriminative training for information fusion

- Combining different sensor modalities (e.g. floor and wearable nodes)
- Incremental learning (adding new activities/persons, adapt existing activities/persons)
- Semi-supervised learning (the use of non-annotated data)

References

Suutala J., Pirttikangas S., and Röning J. (2007) **Discriminative Temporal Smoothing for Activity Recognition from Wearable Sensors**. International Symposium on Ubiquitous Computing Systems(USC2007).

Suutala J., Fujinami K., and Röning J. (2008) Gaussian Process Person Identifier Based on Simple Floor Sensors. euroSSC 2008 (under review)

Summary

Motivation

Motivation (cont.)

Case study 1: Daily life activity recognition

Case study 2: Person identification using simple floor sensors

Conclusions

Conclusions and future work

Thank You!

For more information: jaska@ee.oulu.fi

